

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/596,466	06/19/2000	Craig L. Reding	Bell-19	3940	
75	90 06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
STRAUB & P 620 TINTON A			AGDEPPA, HECTOR A		
BLDG B 2ND I	· ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
TINTON FALL	S, NJ 07724		2642 // DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/596,466	REDING ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hector A. Agdeppa	2642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this applicantly a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. RE 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: So		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	

Examiner: Hector Agdeppa 703-305-1844

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As applicant admits on page 8 of the response, in Bateman, a customer's device is used to dial a telephone number corresponding to a customer agent." This does not avoid the need for a message including the agent telephone number because what is claimed is a request message to make a call. Any time a telephone number is dialed, there will be a request message that includes the dialed number. This is and has always been the case in POTS telephony, Internet telephony, etc. Both the dialed and calling number are used in signaling/messaing to route a call.

As to applicant's argument regarding the inclusion of the customer's number, see Col. 6, lines 32 - 35 of Bateman wherein it states, "The telephone number, time stamp, and URL are passed to the outbound preview dialing system 32 in the call centre 24..." Clearly, the telephone number of the customer is passed along. This is because Bateman teaches that a customer may request a callback for a later time and the customer telephone number of course would be needed to make that later callback. See Col. 6, lines 14 - 30 of Bateman as well. Moreover, this is all done with the "live help" request message.

What applicant appears to be arguing is that a customer in Bateman does not necessarily manually input or choose an agent telephone number since all a customer must do is activate a "live help" button on a webpage. However, the present invention is not claimed in such a way and examiner's interpretation of Bateman and the claims are still analogous.

As to applicant's arguments regarding the Smith reference, they are irrelevant because, as noted in the last office action, Smith was used to address this latter interpretation of the claims, and used ONLY to teach that contacting a specific agent is old and well known. If contacting a specific agent is old and well known, it is a feature that would be obvious in Bateman given the flexibility of Bateman and the fact that all call centers have a motivation to increase customer service and productivity and contacting a specific agent is a well known method of accomplishing this. Given that Bateman teaches requesting live help/live help callback over email, if a customer wanted to interact with a specific agent, they would have to include some agent identifier such as a direct number to them and of couse, the customer's number at which they desired to be called back.

Selman Mass AHMAD MATAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600